UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA NEW ALBANY DIVISION

IN RE: Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11

EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC, 110 U.S. Courthouse

121 West Spring Street

New Albany, IN 47150

Debtor. . January 13, 2012

10:12 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION TO APPROVE AN INFORMAL AD HOC COMMITTEE AND APPLICATION TO EMPLOY PHILLIP L. KUNKEL AS MEDIATOR BEFORE HONORABLE BASIL H. LORCH, III UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Your Community Reed, Weitkamp, Schell & Vice, PLLC

Bank: By: MICHAEL WAYNE OYLER, ESQ.

500 West Jefferson St., #2400

Louisville, KY 40202-2856

For Superior Livestock: By: STEVE BRAND, ESQ.

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For James A. Knauer: Faegre, Baker, Daniels, LLP

By: TERRY E. HALL, ESQ. KEVIN M. TONER, ESQ.

300 N. Meridian St., Suite 2700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-1750

Audio Operator: Amy Bruckert

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

> J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES (Cont'd.):

For the U.S. Trustee: Office of the U.S. Trustee

> By: CHARLES R. WHARTON, ESQ. 101 West Ohio Street, Suite 1000

Indianapolis, IN 46204

For Fifth Third Bank: Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP

By: RANDALL D. LaTOUR, ESQ.

52 East Gay Street, P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008

For Peoples Bank &

Trust:

Foley, Bryant, Holloway & Raluy, PLLC

2

By: LISA KOCH BRYANT, ESQ. ANTHONY G. RALUY, ESQ.

500 West Jefferson St., Suite 2450

Louisville, KY 40202-2812

et al:

For Phillip Taylor Reed, Bingham, Greenebaum, Doll, LLP

By: JOHN W. AMES, ESQ. 3500 National City Tower 101 South Fifth Street Louisville, KY 40202

For Alton Darnell, et

al:

DelCotto Law Group, PLLC

By: LAURA DAY DELCOTTO, ESO.

200 North Upper Street Lexington, KY 40507-1017

For Joplin and Superior: Rubin & Levin, P.C.

By: JOHN M. ROGERS, ESO.

500 Marott Center

342 Massachusetts Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204-2161

For Friona: Sprouse, Shrader, Smith, PC

By: JOHN FREDERICK MASSOUH, ESQ.

701 South Taylor, Suite 500

Amarillo, TX 79101

For First Bank: Faruki, Ireland & Cox, P.L.L.

> By: DANIEL J. DONNELLON, ESQ. 201 East Fifth Street, Suite 1420

Cincinnati, OH 45202

For Arcadia, et al: Walter Scott Newbern, III

By: W. SCOTT NEWBERN, PL

2982 East Gevemy

Tallahassee, FL 32309

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES (Cont'd.):

For First Bank: Ayres, Carr & Sullivan, P.C.

By: JOHN R. CARR, III, ESQ. 251 East Ohio Street, Suite 500 Indianapolis, IN 46204-2184

3

For Rex Elmore: Stuart & Branigin, LLP

By: JASON W. COTTRELL, ESQ. 300 Main Street, Suite 900

P.O. Box 1010

Lafayette, IN 47901-1344

For CPC Livestock: Snell & Wilmer, LLP

By: JESSICA E. YATES, ESQ.

1200 Seventeenth St., Suite 1900

Denver, CO 80202-5854

For Bank First and

Eric Strickland:

Redman Ludwig, PC

By: ERIC C. REDMAN, ESQ.

151 North Delaware Street, Suite 1106

Indianapolis, IN 46204-2573

For Kathryn Pry: Dale & Eke

By: DEBORAH CARUSO, ESQ.

9100 Keystone Crossing, Suite 400

Indianapolis, IN 46240-2159

For Agribees: Givens Pursley, LLP

By: KELLY GREENE McCONNELL, ESQ.

601 West Bannock Street

Boise, ID 83702

Lloyd & McDaniel, PLC

By: ANDREW D. STOSBERG, ESQ. 11405 Park Road, Suite 200

P.O. Box 23200

Louisville, KY 40223-2427

For the Mediator: Gray, Plant, Mooty

By: PHIL KUNKEL, ESQ.

1010 W. St. Germain, Suite 500

St. Cloud, MN 56301

For Peoples Bank: Moye White, LLP

By: DARLA J. GABBITAF, ESQ.

16 Market Square, 6th Floor

1400 16th Street

Denver, CO 80202-1486

(Difficult to discern telephone participants) THE COURT: All right. We're on the record in Eastern Livestock. I have a list of the appearances by phone. $4 \parallel$ Would the attorneys in the courtroom state the appearances,

please? 5

1

2

6

7

8

15

16

17 **I**

18

19

21

22

23

MR. OYLER: Mike Oyler, Your Community Bank.

MR. BRAND: Steve Brand, Superior Livestock.

THE COURT: We have a proposed agenda that we're working from. I would ask all attorneys who are appearing by 10 phone to -- and in the courtroom -- to state your name before 11 you speak so that we can have a clear record. And, as always, if you put us on hold -- don't put us on hold especially if your phone plays music. And it's helpful if you keep your 14 phone on mute until you speak.

Who wants to take me through the agenda?

MS. HALL: This is Terry Hall, Your Honor, for the trustee and short of (indiscernible) I'm happy to start today.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HALL: We would move down to Item Roman Numeral 20 II the matter of the employment application filed by the trustee to employ Phillip L. Kunkel as a mediator in these proceedings, and Mr. Kunkel is on the line. The application was filed on January 3rd. No objections have been received -have been filed or received by the trustee, and we'd ask that the application be approved.

1 deadlines for briefing and arguments are tolled until further $2 \parallel$ order of the Court. The parties may address the briefing argument schedule related to Fifth Third's motion at the omnibus hearing on January 13. So, you have it on hold and apparently invited comment today if somebody was so moved.

THE COURT: Are you so moved?

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LATOUR: I'm at the pleasure of the Court, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you know, we're still -- I mean, I don't know that I really care. I'm not going to get to it right away even if you start briefing it because I'm still in the middle of the other matters that have been briefed.

MR. LATOUR: Well, Your Honor, I've already briefed mine and the other one is simply on -- you know, the response is on hold.

> THE COURT: Right.

MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, this is John Rogers. 18∥actually filed the motion on behalf of Superior. And of course the main reason for doing it was the matters that have already been briefed that are before the Court pretty much simplify or perhaps moot the issues related to this motion (indiscernible) So, the other pending motion and the fact that it doesn't really make sense.

All right. I think what I'll do is, I'll THE COURT: 25∥ leave it as it is until the February 13th omnibus and I hope to have some orders out before then which will maybe clarify things or certainly advance matters to a certain degree.

MR. ROGERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, we'll leave that as it is until the 5∥ next omnibus which is on February 13th at 10 a.m.

All right. Moving on.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

17 l

18

20

21

22

23

24

The last item, Your Honor, is a related MS. HALL: case of Thomas and Patsy Gibson and it's a motion by Peoples Bank & Trust with various objections by the trustee. Mr. Raluy is on the phone representing Peoples Bank & Trust. And Mr. Toner is here on the phone with me. Our understanding is that the parties have reached an agreement and will file an agreed entry on this matter.

MR. RALUY: Yes and no. And this is Anthony Raluy, Your Honor, and basically the trustee in the Gibson case and ourselves and the other objector which is Fifth Third Bank all have reached agreement on an agreed order.

There is one party missing and that's Mr. Gibson and 19 his bankruptcy counsel has informed us that they don't have an objection to it, but he can't get authorization -- he can't get a hold of this client to get authorization to sign the agreement.

MS. CARUSO: Excuse me. Debbie Caruso. We're in the adversary proceeding that's pending in the Gibson case. Gibson is being deposed next week. Perhaps you could show up

```
and make a record that he has no objection to the agreed entry.
 1
 2
             MR. RALUY: I suppose we can do that.
                        All right. Well, then why don't you just
 3
             THE COURT:
 4 let us know after that takes place, if in fact that is what
 5
   took place.
 6
             MR. RALUY:
                        Okay.
 7
             MR. TONER:
                        This is Kevin Toner, Your Honor.
   Assuming the status moves over, this will eliminate the need
   for that February 1st trial.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. Right. That's what I was
11 assuming, but I'm glad you made that clear.
12
             MR. TONER: Yes, Your Honor. If you have a minute I
   was going to inform the Court that we have settled the stay
   relief and the trial on February 1st in the Eastern case, as
15
   well.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Very good. That was the one with
17 the bank from Kentucky, is that right?
18
             MR. TONER: Tennessee, Your Honor.
19
                         Oh, Tennessee. One of those southern
             THE COURT:
20
   states.
21
             MR. TONER:
                        Yes.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Okay.
             MR. RALUY: Your Honor, Mr. Toner and I are going to
23
24\parallel be filing a motion to approve the settlement. But, the terms
25∥ that we've reached basically involve the 363 sale of the
```

```
1 property, the estate will receive 20 percent of the net sale
 2 proceeds and the bank will receive 80 percent of the proceeds.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         All right.
             CALL OPERATOR: Joining the meeting.
 4
 5
             MS. BRYANT: Lisa Bryant here.
             THE COURT: I think that was -- I think we just
 6
 7
   talked about Ms. Bryant's -- isn't she Peoples Bank?
 8
             MR. RALUY: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
             MS. BRYANT: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm sorry I
10
  -- Mr. (indiscernible) probably told you I had a doctor's
   appointment.
11
12
             THE COURT: Well, I was just being told that that
   matter is settled. There is a detail of getting Mr. Gibson's
14 consent that's still hanging, and they're going to ask him
   about that at the deposition next week.
15
16
             MS. BRYANT: I'm sorry. What was that, Your Honor?
                         The parties were just reporting that the
17
             THE COURT:
18∥ matter is settled, but they have not been able to get explicit
   authority from Mr. Gibson to sign off, but that at his
   deposition next week they're going to ask him if he consents to
20
21
   the settlement.
22
             MS. BRYANT: Is this on the agreed entry on stay from
   relief on abandonment?
23
24
             THE COURT:
                        Yes.
25
             MR. TONER: Yes.
```

MS. BRYANT: Fred -- is Fred Simon appearing today?

THE COURT: No.

1

2

3

7

10

11

14

18

19

21

22

23

MS. BRYANT: Your Honor, I did get an e-mail from 4 Fred last night stating that even though he hasn't been able to 5 get in touch with the Gibsons, he was not aware of any objections that they could raise. So, he told me I was authorized to tell you that and if you wanted to go ahead and approve the agreed entry without Fred's signature, Debbie Caruso has authorized me to sign, Ted King has authorized me to sign.

So, Fred said he would -- you know, he had no 12∥objection to you entering the -- approving the agreed entry 13 without his signature if the Court is okay with that.

MR. LATOUR: Your Honor, this is Randall LaTour from Fifth Third. We may be talking about two separate motions, both involving Peoples Bank. There is an adversary proceeding 17 in the Eastern case involving Peoples Bank.

> THE COURT: Right.

MR. LATOUR: There's a separate motion for relief 20 from stay on abandonment in the Gibson case regarding different property. There is an agreed order in each of those instances.

THE COURT: Right. No, I'm aware of that. At this particular moment I was addressing the Gibson case because as I understood it that's the one that a moment ago, Ms. Caruso, you indicated that you were going to try to get Mr. Gibson's

1 consent on the record at his deposition, is that correct?

2

7

8

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARUSO: Yeah, I would be happy to ask. Although the deposition that has been noted in the adversary pending in 4 the Gibson case relateding to other properties, I would be $5 \parallel$ happy to question Mr. Gibson with respect to his consent to the 6 agreed entry we're discussing right now.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, let -- from the Court's standpoint, if the parties are satisfied with the agreed entry I'll approve it. The -- you know, I don't know 10∥that -- I mean I think Mr. Gibson -- the trustee can sign on 11 behalf of Mr. Gibson, can't they?

MS. CARUSO: I think you're right on that, Your Honor. And in light of Ms. Bryant's appearance and presentation to the Court regarding her discussions with Mr. Simon, I do think that it would be appropriate.

THE COURT: All right. Well get me the entry and an order approving and I'll sign an order approving.

MS. BRYANT: All right. Thank you all very much.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. CARUSO: Late, but very helpful.

THE COURT: Okay. In Eastern a couple things that are not on the agenda and maybe you can status me on these matters. What about this Rush Creek Ranch adversary?

MR. NEWBERN: Your Honor, this is Scott Newbern.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. NEWBERN: I represent one of the defendants in It is being consolidated with another matter that 2 that matter. is before the Court involving a payment into the court, 4 directly into the -- under the fund by a Lynn Miller.

Along with several other matters, I'm drafting the motion today and I will hopefully get counsel's approval and we'll file a stipulated motion for the consolidation. what we talked about, and the holidays interfered with getting it done.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I'll be looking for that. Then also in Eastern we had an objection, a supplemental objection to the purchase money claims report filed by 13 Hillard-McKettrick, et cetera.

MR. NEWBERN: That's me, Your Honor, again Scott 15 Newbern.

THE COURT: Yes.

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NEWBERN: The objection basically asks for the 18∥Court to look at the role in which Eastern acted as a means of narrowing the activity before the Court making rulings on what happens if Eastern acts as a broker, what happens when Eastern acts for their own account, what happens when Eastern acts as a clearing agency.

I haven't done anything with that motion, Your Honor, because I got the feeling from the last hearing that the Court was going in a different direction.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

4

5

6

10

12

18

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NEWBERN: I'm happy to refile it or, you know, ask the Court to address it. There have been no responses that I know of.

THE COURT: Well, that's what was brought to my attention that it's just kind of hanging there. I think I'll status that for February also and see if -- I mean, you may want to refine, or amend that or abandon it depending on the orders that are issued prior to February 13th.

MR. NEWBERN: All right. Very well, Your Honor. 11 Thank you.

THE COURT: And then I believe also in Eastern in 13 Friona I have a motion pursuant to Rule 56(d) to defer 14 consideration or ruling upon the summary judgment motion until 15 necessary discovery is completed. So, I do think we need to 16 address that because that's one of the two orders that we're 17 working on.

MS. GABBITAF: Yes, Your Honor, this is Darla 19 Gabbitaf on behalf of Peoples Bank from Coldwater, Kansas. 20 | believe that's our motion for summary judgment that we're discussing and I --

MR. TONER: I don't think -- this is Kevin Toner. I'm not sure that's right.

THE COURT: No, it's the --

MS. GABBITAS: Oh, my apologies then. My apologies.

THE COURT: It's the Friona. I think it's the trustee's motion that we're talking about, isn't it, Mr. Toner? MR. TONER: Yes, I think it was about the response of 4 the trustee's summary judgment motion.

> THE COURT: Right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

21

22

23

24

MR. TONER: If you'd like the trustee to get the response I would be happy to do that. As you know, the motion presents (indiscernible) the matters of law, but we'd be happy to lay that out in short (indiscernible).

THE COURT: All right. I think Ms. Delcotto filed that. I don't know if she's on or not.

MS. DELCOTTO: Your Honor, I am on and I don't know how to address that today. I have begun to address with the trustee for instance and some of the discovery we're starting to see, clearly there are documents that are material to the statutory trust issue that perhaps the trustee did not know about before he filed his motion.

So, I'm just -- this is more confusion, ongoing 19 confusion, on my part of the scope of some of these motions for partial summary judgment. I mean, I do think the Court, as we discussed last time, can rule on this issue of whether (indiscernible) is an absolute bar, regardless of any evidence at all.

But, when we start saying -- when we start going 25∥ beyond that, then I guess I'm saying we're just now in the

1 midst of this discovery protocol and no discovery has really 2 been done. And we're starting to actually see documents that are very relevant and I think for them questions of fact.

3

4

7

11

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

So is basically what you're saying -- I THE COURT: 5 mean, I looked through this and it did look to me like when you start listing some of the issues of material fact -- first of all, I think what you just said is something that I stated last time and I agree with is that if you find that -- I mean, if I find that a constructive trust -- there can be no constructive trust then that ends the inquiry as a matter of law. If I find that a constructive trust can exist, it's fact sensitive and 12 more discovery is needed. Is that what you're saying?

MS. DELCOTTO: Yes, Your Honor, and it's basically the same on a statutory trust.

THE COURT: All right. Now some of the things that 16 you seem to raise though as to the status of Fifth Third, their actions in resulting the return to maker checks and all that, I mean it seemed to me like that's kind of outside the scope of 19 this particular question.

I mean, it might go to a later claim that they're -they should be equitably subordinated or whatever, but it doesn't seem to -- it didn't seem to me at first glance that it was necessarily relevant to the pending motion.

MS. DELCOTTO: One of the -- we believe the trustee 25∥ is saying two things. They're saying you can just never have

one period as a matter of law in bankruptcy.

THE COURT: Right.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

17 l

18

20

21

22

23

MS. DELCOTTO: And they also say, well, there's 4 another tool which says look at the effect and the unjust 5 enrichment and look at some other things and by saying what Fifth Third knew and when they knew it is directly relevant to whether someone is going to be unjustly enriched.

MR. LATOUR: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Well, let me -- before we get into a long discussion of this, let me say this. I'm already far enough into this to say that if I rule that there can be a constructive trust, I understand that there's going to have to 13 be further discovery on the particularized circumstances.

So, I think, you know, when I issue an order it's 15 either going to say no that you can't have a constructive trust, or under these circumstances you can't have a constructive trust or we're going to have to have further proceedings to determine whether or not such a constructive 19 trust could apply under these circumstances.

So, I don't know if that helps your anxiety or not, but I --

MS. DELCOTTO: I think you understand what I'm saying, Your Honor, and I'm willing to I guess take my chances 24 on what these rulings are going to say for now. But, I just 25∥ want to make sure you understand that there has been very

1 little discovery done in this case.

2

3

7

8

13

15

17 l

18

19

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TONER: Your Honor, this is Kevin Toner. 4 to leave the statutory trust comment just hanging because I 5 | just didn't comment on it a few seconds ago. The trustee's position is that because there are no pre-petition judgments, as a matter of law that doesn't happen.

Specifically on our summary judgment motion as far as the need for discovery, if these parties in the Friona case 10 | have evidence about the trustee's -- I'm sorry -- about 11 Eastern's status, if they're in the possession of evidence, 12 their clients know those transactions and none of them responded to this particular (indiscernible) responses to date, 14 really comes back on the summary judgment motion as to that.

So that would be our written response to the points she's making in the motion you've raised just now. If you'd like us to lay that out in writing we'd be happy to.

THE COURT: I don't --

MS. DELCOTTO: Judge, I would just say you haven't really told us yet whether you're ruling on the statutory trust motion or whether that's just one that's going to sit there a little while longer.

I think some of the evidence that's beginning to come out in Fifth Third's possession specifically is (indiscernible) that talk about packers and stockyards and its implications.

1 And I don't want to get into a big argument, but there are 2 clearly (indiscernible) that begin to talk about Packers and Stockyards Act.

3

4

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know whether the trustee had that before he 5∥ filed to say it's a matter of summary judgment, but I disagree 6 with that.

MR. LATOUR: Your Honor, this is Randall LaTour for Fifth Third.

First of all, the question of constructive trust or statutory trusts are issues that go to whether a particular fund is property of the bankruptcy estate or not.

What happens to that money after that determination is still going to be subject to a lot of debate, I'm quite sure. And I think your earlier point that a lot of the discussion really goes to that latter question and not to the additional question whether it's the property of the estate or 17∥ not.

Whether the documents of Fifth Third's credit agreements have anything to say about packers and stockyards 19 does not remotely change what the federal statute says. The federal statute makes clear that there is no statutory trust, and that's been fully briefed by everybody. So, there is no need for discovery on the statutory trust that comes from packers and stockyards.

MS. DELCOTTO: I disagree, Your Honor, but I don't

1 know that now is when we need to be arguing about this.

2

3

4

7

8

9

11

13

19

21

22

MR. LATOUR: Well, I certainly didn't expect the issue to arise. The agenda was to the contrary.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I've opened up the 5 agenda somewhat, but I'm going to -- I'm just going to continue 6 working on the motions that are in front of me. To the extent that that motion asks the Court to defer consideration, I'm going to deny the motion.

I do think -- you know, I will help expedite 10 discovery with particularized motions and, you know, I'll consider a request to file anything in addition that any party 12 puts forward.

I think we've just had recently a surreply in one of 14 these matters. So, I'm not foreclosing other considerations, but I'm not going to defer consideration because as I said last 16 time and I think the time before, I think it's time for the 17 Court to start issuing some orders and that's my intent within 18 the next few weeks.

MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, very briefly. This is John If I could just briefly add one thing. There are of Rogers. course a number of us who have framed arguments similar to the one raised by Ms. Delcotto today regarding the need for discovery and its bearing on the pending motion. I think she's kind of dealing with who filed the motion to defer consideration, but certainly what she has indicated brings a

relevance onto the discovery matter (indiscernible) posture.

THE COURT: Yes, I saw that there was -- some parties I think joined her motion.

All right. Anything else today?

MR. TONER: Your Honor, this is Kevin Toner again. Ι can report that on the Okie matter and the Cattlemen's transaction, \$3 million was declared last week and that has been wrapped up.

THE COURT: Yes, I was told that. That's good.

MR. TONER: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

Anything else? THE COURT:

MS. BRYANT: Your Honor, this is Lisa Bryant again, and again I do apologize that I got here late. But, I don't 14 know if Debbie Caruso brought this up earlier, but I'm hearing that Debbie wants to file a report of sale with regard to the Pfrimmers Road property and the other property that she auctioned.

And I did review her proposed report of sale and her 19 tendered order of what my client (indiscernible) on the 20 Pfrimmers property. And I have been authorized by my client to advise the Court that we have no legal basis to object. hate that it's happening, but we have no legal basis to object to Debbie's report of sales and tendered order of (indiscernible) on the Pfrimmers property.

THE COURT: All right.

```
1
             MS. CARUSO: Thank you. I appreciate that.
                                                          I don't
 2 believe -- I haven't filed it yet, Lisa --
 3
             MS. BRYANT: I think (indiscernible) --
 4
             MS. CARUSO: He did?
 5
             MS. BRYANT: -- take a look at it and --
 6
             MS. CARUSO: Good.
 7
             MS. BRYANT: -- (indiscernible).
 8
             MS. CARUSO: And I appreciate that. Your Honor, we
   did complete an auction of some real estate in the Gibson case
10 and received somewhere over $200,000 from that sale, and now
11 that is free and clear based on Ms. Bryant's representation on
12 consent to the avoidance. So we'll be filing that, Lisa, and,
13 Your Honor, sometime today or tomorrow.
             THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you.
14
   Anything else from anyone? All right. We're adjourned.
16
             THE ATTORNEYS: Thank you.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I, JANET D. PERSONS, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

/s/ Janet D. Persons

JANET D. PERSONS

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: January 17, 2012